This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,219	12/04/2001	Douglas S. Swisher	2316.1353USU1	6872
23552 7	7590 07/16/2004		EXAMI	NER
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L	
P.O. BOX 290 MINNEAPOL	3 IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/005,219	SWISHER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		X L Bautista	2173			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of t	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2. Poly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day 3. day 4. day 4. day 5. day 6. MONTHS from 16. cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2001</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	on is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and allowed.	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the file.	s/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se the ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen			(DTO 140)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. New corrected drawings are required in this application because they contain informal drawings (figure 1), some figures are too dark and others include shading and/or very small font, which makes the drawings' elements, labels and details difficult to see, read and understand. Correction is required.
- 2. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 2173

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "position linked in step (d)" in line 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/005,219

Art Unit: 2173

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *J. Laferriere et al* (paper entitled <u>Original method for analyzing multipaths</u> networks by OTDR measurement, 1997).

Claims 1-3 and 6-8:

Laferriere discloses a method for analyzing multipaths networks by OTDR measurement. Laferriere teaches that the OTDR instrument can detect, locate, and characterize all the components and defaults; and it can give and store a visual representation of links. Laferriere introduces a new OTDR measurement technique that allows complete analysis of a network, giving information such as detection and localization of all the components and defaults, etc. Laferriere teaches testing networks with an OTDR signal to generate an OTDR trace, displaying the OTDR trace result, links, and visual representations (fig. 4; pages 99-101).

Claims 4 and 5:

See claim 1. Laferriere discloses modeling and displaying select equipment (figs. 2-4).

Claims 7 and 8:

Laferriere discloses a computer-readable medium having computerexecutable instructions (software) for the method (page 99, col. 2).

Art Unit: 2173

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Laferriere* and *Livesey et al* (US 6,492,993 B1).

Claims 9 and 10:

See claim 1. Laferriere does not teach a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave. However, Livesey discloses a method for generating railing structures having a network link that provides data communication through one or more networks to other data services. Livesey explains that a computer-readable medium that provides instructions to a processor. Livesey teaches transmission media including fiber optics. Livesey teaches that common forms of computer-readable media include a carrier wave; and that received code may be executed by the processor and/or stored in a storage device or other non-volatile storage for later execution. The computer system may obtain application code in the form of a carrier wave (col. 5, lines 1-34, 66-67; col. 6, lines 1-28). Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2173

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laferriere to include Livesey's teaching of a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave because an electromagenetic wave can be modulated to transmit different types of signals such as music, images, etc.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to X L Bautista whose telephone number is (703) 305-3921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-18:00), Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private

Art Unit: 2173

PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

X L Bautista
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2173

xlb 9 July 2004